

CS345 --- Data Mining

Course Introduction
Varieties of Data Mining
Bonferroni's Principle

Course Staff

◆ Instructors:

- ◆ Anand Rajaraman
- ◆ Jeff Ullman

◆ TA:

- ◆ Babak Pahlavan

Requirements

- ◆ **Homework** (Gradiance and other) 20%
 - ◆ Gradiance class code **B0E9AA66**
 - ◆ Note URL for class: www.gradiance.com/services (not /pearson).
- ◆ **Project** 40%
- ◆ **Final Exam** 40%

Project

- ◆ **Software implementation** related to course subject matter.
- ◆ Should involve an **original** component or experiment.
- ◆ More later about available data and computing resources.

Team Projects

- ◆ Working in pairs OK, but ...
 1. We will expect more from a pair than from an individual.
 2. The effort should be roughly evenly distributed.

What is Data Mining?

- ◆ Discovery of useful, possibly unexpected, patterns in data.
- ◆ Subsidiary issues:
 - ◆ **Data cleansing**: detection of bogus data.
 - E.g., age = 150.
 - Entity resolution.
 - ◆ **Visualization**: something better than megabyte files of output.
 - ◆ **Warehousing** of data (for retrieval).

Cultures

- ◆ **Databases**: concentrate on large-scale (non-main-memory) data.
- ◆ **AI** (machine-learning): concentrate on complex methods, small data.
- ◆ **Statistics**: concentrate on models.

Models vs. Analytic Processing

- ◆ To a database person, data-mining is an extreme form of **analytic processing** -- queries that examine large amounts of data.
 - ◆ Result is the data that answers the query.
- ◆ To a statistician, data-mining is the inference of models.
 - ◆ Result is the parameters of the model.

(Way too Simple) Example

- ◆ Given a billion numbers, a DB person would compute their average.
- ◆ A statistician might fit the billion points to the best Gaussian distribution and report the mean and standard deviation.

Web Mining

- ◆ Much of the course will be devoted to ways to data mining on the Web.
 1. Mining to discover things about the Web.
 - ◆ E.g., PageRank, finding spam sites.
 2. Mining data from the Web itself.
 - ◆ E.g., analysis of click streams, similar products at Amazon.

Outline of Course

- ◆ PageRank and related measures of importance on the Web (*link analysis*).
 - ◆ Spam detection.
 - ◆ Topic-sensitive search.
- ◆ Association rules, frequent itemsets.
- ◆ Recommendation systems.
 - ◆ E.g., what should Amazon suggest you buy?

Outline – (2)

- ◆ Minhashing/Locality-Sensitive Hashing.
 - ◆ Finding similar Web pages, e.g.
- ◆ Extracting structured data (relations) from the Web.
- ◆ Clustering data.
- ◆ Managing Web advertisements.
- ◆ Mining data streams.

Relationship to CME 340

- ◆ CME340 is taught by Sep Kamvar.
 - ◆ Time will be Monday afternoons before CS345A in Rm. 160-317.
- ◆ Title is very similar to CS345A, but overlap is actually PageRank and extensions.

Regarding CME 340 – (2)

- ◆ Styles are very different:
 - ◆ CS345A: conventional course.
 - ◆ CME340: reading papers + optional project.
- ◆ By agreement among the instructors:
 - ◆ You can take both, but register for 1 unit of CME340 and do the project for CS345A.

Meaningfulness of Answers

- ◆ A big risk when data mining is that you will “discover” patterns that are meaningless.
- ◆ Statisticians call it **Bonferroni's principle**: (roughly) if you look in more places for interesting patterns than your amount of data will support, you are bound to find crap.

Examples: Bonferroni's Principle

1. A big objection to TIA was that it was looking for so many vague connections that it was sure to find things that were bogus and thus violate innocents' privacy.
2. The **Rhine Paradox**: a great example of how not to conduct scientific research.

Stanford Professor Proves Tracking Terrorists Is Impossible!

- ◆ Two years ago, the example I am about to give you was picked up from the slides by a reporter from the LA Times.
- ◆ Despite my talking to him at length, he was unable to grasp the point that the story was made up to illustrate Bonferroni's Principle, and was not real.

Example: Bonferroni's Principle

- ◆ This example illustrates a problem with intelligence-gathering.
- ◆ Suppose we believe that certain groups of evil-doers are meeting occasionally in hotels to plot doing evil.
- ◆ We want to find people who **at least twice have stayed at the same hotel on the same day.**

The Details

- ◆ 10^9 people being tracked.
- ◆ 1000 days.
- ◆ Each person stays in a hotel 1% of the time (10 days out of 1000).
- ◆ Hotels hold 100 people (so 10^5 hotels).
- ◆ If everyone behaves randomly (I.e., no evil-doers) will the data mining detect anything suspicious?

Calculations – (1)

- ◆ Probability that persons p and q will be at the same hotel on day d :
 - ◆ $1/100 * 1/100 * 10^{-5} = 10^{-9}$.
- ◆ Probability that p and q will be at the same hotel on two given days:
 - ◆ $10^{-9} * 10^{-9} = 10^{-18}$.
- ◆ Pairs of days:
 - ◆ $5 * 10^5$.

Calculations – (2)

- ◆ Probability that p and q will be at the same hotel on **some** two days:
 - ◆ $5 \times 10^5 * 10^{-18} = 5 \times 10^{-13}$.
- ◆ Pairs of people:
 - ◆ 5×10^{17} .
- ◆ Expected number of suspicious pairs of people:
 - ◆ $5 \times 10^{17} * 5 \times 10^{-13} = 250,000$.

Conclusion

- ◆ Suppose there are (say) 10 pairs of evil-doers who definitely stayed at the same hotel twice.
- ◆ Analysts have to sift through 250,010 candidates to find the 10 real cases.
 - ◆ Not gonna happen.
 - ◆ But how can we improve the scheme?

Moral

- ◆ When looking for a property (e.g., “two people stayed at the same hotel twice”), make sure that there are not so many possibilities that random data will surely produce facts “of interest.”

Rhine Paradox – (1)

- ◆ Joseph Rhine was a parapsychologist in the 1950's who hypothesized that some people had Extra-Sensory Perception.
- ◆ He devised (something like) an experiment where subjects were asked to guess 10 hidden cards --- red or blue.
- ◆ He discovered that almost 1 in 1000 had ESP --- they were able to get all 10 right!

Rhine Paradox – (2)

- ◆ He told these people they had ESP and called them in for another test of the same type.
- ◆ Alas, he discovered that almost all of them had lost their ESP.
- ◆ What did he conclude?
 - ◆ Answer on next slide.

Rhine Paradox – (3)

- ◆ He concluded that you shouldn't tell people they have ESP; it causes them to lose it.

Moral

- ◆ Understanding Bonferroni's Principle will help you look a little less stupid than a parapsychologist.