## Exploiting Within-Clique Factorizations in Junction-Tree Algorithms

## Abstract

We show that the expected computational complexity of the Junction-Tree Algorithm for MAPinference in graphical models can be improved. Our results apply whenever the potentials over maximal cliques of the triangulated graph are factored over subcliques. This enlarges the class of models for which exact inference is efficient.

## MAP-estimation

Passing messages in graphical models requires that we compute 'max-marginals', one step of which requires choosing the maximum product amongst two (or more) lists:

$$
\hat{i}=\underset{i c s 1}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left\{\mathbf{v}_{a}[i] \times \mathbf{v}_{b}[i]\right\} .
$$

$$
i \in\{1 \ldots N\}
$$

Although this seems to be a linear time operation, it can be reduced to $O(\sqrt{N})$ (in the expected case) if we know the permutations that sort $\mathbf{v}_{a}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{b}$. Our results arise due to the fact that knowing these permutations allows us to ignore much of the search space:


We find that these permutations can be computed efficiently whenever the model's cliques factorize.
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## Graphs whose potentials factorize



The graphical models shown above contain only pairwise factors; triangulating them increases their maximal clique size

(a)

(b)

(c)

Analogous cases are common in many applications: (a) a model for pose reconstruction from [Sigal and Black, 2006]; (b) a 'skip-chain CRF' from [Galley, 2006]; (c) a model for deformable matching from [Coughlan and Ferreira, 2002]. Although the (triangulated) models have cliques of size three, they factorize into pairwise terms.

## Results





Left: Performance of our algorithm over 100 trials; the dotted lines show the bounds. Centre: Performance of our algorithm for different correlation coefficients. Right: The running time of our method on a graph matching experiment over 10 trials [McAuley et al., 2008].

